# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

# **STATE ELECTION**

OFFICIAL EARLY / ABSENTEE BALLOT

Pcts. 1-9, 13

**VOTE BOTH SIDES** 

**BARNSTABLE** 

Millians Manein Helician Secretian or The Considerables of Managements

BALLOT Tuesday, November 8, 2022

029

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval 

to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

OUNCORDE I FUTTISSET OOUTOROD		COLINOII LOD	DADNOTADI E ACCESSOLV DELFOATE
GOVERNOR and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR Vole for OHE		COUNCILLOR FIRST DISTRICT Vole for DNE	BARNSTABLE ASSEMBLY DELEGATE BARNSTABLE ASSEMBLY DELEGATE Vole for ONE
DIEHL and ALLEHRepublican	0	JOSEPH C. FERREIRA Oeroccustic (350 Secules Ana. Sugrassa (350 Gardas Ana. Sugrassa)	Patrick M. Princi  3 Waystello, Barrishble Gardighs for Bediction
HEALEY and DRISCOLL Democratic	0	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank like below for write-in.	DO ROT VOTE IN THIS SPACE, USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
REED and EVERETT	0	WEHT-PUSPACE DHLY	WHERE-IN SPACE THAT
DO HOT YOTE IN THIS EPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.			
VSP4TF-4Y SPACE ONLY	0		
		SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT CAPE & ISLANDS DISTRICT VOTO (OI ONE	
		JULIAN ANDRE CYR Democratic Cartifata for Restriction	
ATTORNEY GENERAL VOIO FOR ONE		CHRISTOPHER ROBERT LAUZON Republican (	
ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL Democratic 37 Growlard St. System	0	DO HOT VOTESH THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
JAMES R. MCMAHON, III ++++++Republican ICCard View Rt. Boorne	0	NAIE-INSPACE OURY	
DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK EINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		HORE-HI STALE WAT	
	0		
WATER A SPACE ONLY	_	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT	
		SECOND BARNSTABLE DISTRICT Vole for DNE KIP A. DEGGS	i
SECRETARY OF STATE		WILLIAM BUFFINGTON PETERS Rapublican (	l
Vote for ONE WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN	$\circ$	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	l ,
FELLIS ST. Boston Cardidate for Re-electrica RAYLA CAMPBELL	_	USE BLANK LIKE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	I (
JUAN SANGHEZ		AND 3145 IN-31EA	
20 504:36-51, Helyota DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	Ų		
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WAITE-IN.	$\sim$	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	
WHITE-I'V SPACE ONLY	O	CAPE & ISLANDS DISTRICT Vole for ONE ROBERT JOSEPH GALIBOIS Democratic	
		51 B Dre Lin, Bourdasts	
TOCACHOED		DANIEL HIBGINS 71 S'eap Vestow Pd. Bar Stable Da NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	, 1/2
TREASURER Vole for ONE	_	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	\
DEBORAH B. GOLOBERG Democratic 37 Hydro Ra. Brotilina Cand data for Re-Mission	$\sim$	WHITE-PUSPICE OHRY	\ )
CHRESTINA CRAWFORD 111111 CREartarian 100 Prospert St., Startom	0		
DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE, Use blank like below for write-in.			
WATE-IN SPACE DILY	0	SHERIFF PARKISTABLE COUNTY Voto for ONE	
		OONNA D. BUCKLEY Democratic	\ \
		TEMOTHY R. WHELANRepublican  223 Lalard Rd. Pressier	1 7
AUDITOR Vote for ONE		DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLAKK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	_ ~
ANTHORY AMORE Bepublican		Widdle-RN SFACE ON BY	
DIANA DIZOGLIO Democratic	0		
GLORIA A. CABALLERO-ROCA + Green-Flatnbow Party SWINT O Ave. Hollots	0		
DOMINIC GIANNONE, III ++++++ Workers Party 19 First loca Air., Westporth	0	COUNTY COMMISSIONER PARIESTABLE COUNTY  PARIESTABLE COUNTY	
DANIEL RIEK Uberladan 9 Brezy Polst, Yarrouth	0	RONALO J. BERGSTROM Democratic [1247 OH Gusen Area Rd., Chaften Cardidate for Re-election]	
DO NOT YOTE IN THIS EPACE, USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		RONALD R. BEATY, JR Republican	
	0	245 Party PAL Revisions  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE,  Use blank line below for write-in.	
NAME AN SPACE DULY	_		\ \
		WHITE THIS SPACE OF TA	1
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS			
MITH DISTRICT VOIS IGT UNE  BILL KEATING	0		
JESSE G. BROWN			
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.			
USE BLAHX LIKE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	0		\ / \ \ }
WHITE-HY SPACE DYLY			\ \ \
			<i>I</i>
			/
			/

## PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on June 12, 2019 (yeas 147 - nays 48); and again on June 9, 2021 (yeas 159 - nays 41)?

#### SUMMARY

This proposed constitutional amendment would establish an additional 4% state income tax on that portion of annual taxable income in excess of \$1 million. This income level would be adjusted annually, by the same method used for federal income-lax brackets, to reflect increases in the cost of living. Revenues from This incline feet would be disposed animally, by internal memory case or records incontrate networks, or records more cost or interig. records non-this tax would be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, for public education, public colleges and unisities, and for the repair and maintenance of roads, bridges, and public transportation. The proposed amendment-would apply to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2023.

A YES VOTE would amend the state Constitution to impose an additional 4% lax on that portion of incomes over one million delians to be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, on education and transportation.

YES 🗇

NO C

-

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state Constitution relative to income tax

### QUESTION 2

LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2022?

#### SHMMARY

This proposed law would direct the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Division of Insurance to approve or disapprove the rates of dental benefit plans and would require that a deatal insurance carrier meet an annual aggregate medical loss ratio for its covered dental benefit plans of 83 percent. The medical loss ratio would measure the amount of premium dollars a dental insurance carrier spends on its members' dental expenses and quality improvements, as opposed to administrative expenses. If a carrier's annual aggregate medical loss ratio is less than 83 percent, the carrier would be required to return the excess premiums to its covered individuals and groups. The proposed law would allow the Commissioner to waive or adjust the refunds only it it is determined that issuing refunds would result in financial impairment for the carrier.

The proposed law would apply to dental benefit plans regardless of whether they are issued directly by a carrier, through the connector, or through an informediary. The proposed law would not apply to dental benefit plans issued, delivered, or renewed to a self-insured group or where the carrier is acting as a third-party administrator.

The propsed law would require the carriers offering dental beenth plans to submit information about their current and projected medical loss ratio, administrative expenses, and other financial information to the Commissioner. Each carrier would be required to submit an annual comprehensive financial statement to the Division of Insurance, itembed by market group size and line of business. A carrier that also provides administrative services to one or more self-insured groups would also be required to file an appendix to their annual financial statement with Information about its self-insured business. The proposed law would impose a late penalty on a carrier that does not file its annual report on or before April 1.

The Division would be required to make the submitted data public, to issue an annual summary to certain legislative committees, and to exchange the

data with the Health Policy Commission. The Commissioner would be required to adopt standards requiring the registration of persons or entitles not otherwise licensed or registered by the Commissioner and criteria for the standardized reporting and uniform allocation methodologies among carriers.

The proposed law would allow the Commissioner to approve denial benefit policies for the purpose of being offered to individuals or groups. The Commissioner would be required to adopt regulations to determine eligibility criteria.

The proposed law would require carriers to file group product best rates and any changes to group rating factors that are to be effective en January 1 of each year on or before July 1 of the preceding year. The Commissioner would be required to disapprove any proposed changes to base rates that are excessive, inadequate, or unreasonable in relation to the benefits charged. The Commissioner would be proved to disapprove any change to group rating factors that

is discriminatory of not actualistly sound.

The proposed law sets forth criteria that, if met, would require the Commissioner to presumptively disapprove a carrier's rate, including if the aggregate medical loss ratio for all dental benefit plans offered by a carrier is less than 83 percent.

The proposed law would establish procedures to be followed if a proposed rate is presumptively disapproved or if the Commissioner disapp The proposed law would require the Division to hold a hearing if a carrier reports a risk-based capital ratio on a combined entity basis that exceeds 700 percent in its annual report.

The proposed law would require the Commissioner to promutgate regulations consistent with its provisions by October 1, 2023. The proposed law would

apply to all dealet benefit plans issued, made effective, delivered, or rereaved on or after January 1, 2024,

A YES VOTE would regulate dealet insurance rates, including by requiring companies to spend at least 83% of premiums on member denial expenses and quality improvements instead of administrative expenses, and by making other changes to dental Insurance regulations.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the regulations that apply to dental insurance companies.

YES O NO O

### QUESTION 3

# LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2022? SUMMARY

This proposed law would increase the statewide limits on the combined number of licenses for the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption (including licenses) for "all alcoholic beverages" and for "wines and mail beverages") that any one relater could own or control: from 9 to 12 licenses in 2023; to 15 licenses in 2027; and to 18 licenses in 2031.

Is licenses in 2027, and to 18 licenses in 2031.

Beginning in 2023, the proposed law would set a maximum number of "all alcoholic beverages" licenses that any one relatier could own or control at 7 licenses unless a relatier currently holds more than 7 such licenses.

The proposed law would require relatiers to conduct the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption through face-to-face transactions and would prohibil altomated or self-ene-koat sales of alcoholic beverages by such retailers.

The proposed law would altor the calculation of the fine that the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission may accept in lieu of suspending any license issued under the State Liquor Control Act. The proposed law would anodify the formula for calculating such fee from being based on the gress profils on the sale of alcoholic beverages to being based on the gross profils on all retail sales.

The proposed faw would also add out-of-state motor vehicle licenses to the list of the forms of identification that any holder of a license issued under the State Liquor Control Act, or their agent to employee, may choose to reasonably rely on for proof of a person's identify and age.

A YES VOTE would increase the number of itemses a retailer could have for the sale of alcoholic beverages to be consumed off premises, limit the number

of "all-alcoholic beverages" licenses that a relailer could acquire, restrict use of self-checkout, and require retailers to accept customers' out-of-state Identification.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing the retail sale of alcoholic beverages.

NO O

### **QUESTION 4**

### REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on May 26, 2022?

### SUMMARY

This law allows Massachusotts residents who cannot provide proof of lawfull presence in the United States to obtain a standard driver's license or learner's permit if they meet all the other qualifications for a standard beanse or learner's permit, including a road test and insurance, and provide proof of their identity, date of birth, and residency. The law provides that, when processing an application for such a license or learner's permit or notion vehicle registration, the registrar of motor vehicles may not ask about or create a record of the citizenship or immigration status of the applicant, except as otherwise required by law. This law does

neon venices risely ask about or create a record or net encertship in imitigation status of net applicant, except as ditentives equired by tank, into saw does not allow people who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the Dulled States to obtain a REAL ID.

To prove identity and date of birth, the law requires an applicant to present at least two documents, one from each of the following categories: (1) a valid unexplied foreign passport or a valid unexplied Consular identification document; and (2) a valid unexplied driver's license from any United States states, or enteritory, an original or certified copy of a birth certificate, a valid unexplied foreign national identification card, a valid unexplied foreign off-ver's license, or a marriage certificate or divorce decree issued by any state or forritory of the United States. One of the documents presented by an applicant must include a pholograph and one must include a date of birth. Any documents not in English must be accompanied by a certified translation. The registrar may review any documents issued by another country to determine whether they may be used as proof of identity or date of birth.

The law requires that applicants for a driver's license or learner's permit shall attest, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that their license has not been suspended or revoked in any other state, country, or jurisdiction.

The law specifies that information provided by or relating to any applicant or license-holder will not be a public record and shall not be disclosed, except as required by federal law or as authorized by Attorney General regulations, and except for purposes of motor vehicle insurance.

The law directs the registrar of motor vehicles to make regulations regarding the documents required of United States citizens and others who provide proof

of lawful presence with their license application.

The law also requires the registrar and the Secretary of the Commonwealth to establish procedures and regulations to ensure that an applicant for a standard driver's license or learner's permit who does not provide proof of lawful presence will not be automatically registered to vote. The law takes effect on July 1, 2023.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the law, which would allow Massachusetts residents who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States to obtain a driver's ilcense or permit If they meet the other requirements for doing so. A NO VOTE would repeal this law

YES 🗢 NO

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING